



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,674	07/07/2006	Shigetaka Sakakibara	2271/76516	4701
23432 7590 06/03/2011 COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza 20th Floor NEW YORK, NY 10112				
EXAMINER				
TRAN, DUNG D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2625				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/03/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Advisory Action</i> <i>Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</i></p>	<p>Application No. 10/585,674</p>	<p>Applicant(s) SAKAKIBARA ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner DUNG TRAN</p>	<p>Art Unit 2625</p>	

—THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 Claim(s) allowed: _____.
 Claim(s) objected to: _____.
 Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5-10 and 12-15.
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that modifying the method of Chang, to replace the two thresholds with one threshold as proposed in the Office Action, would change the principle of operation of Chang. Examiner respectfully disagrees since, as discussed in Applicant's argument, page 11 and Chang's figure 2, line 13 of page 1 of certificate of correction — line 12 of page 2 of certificate of correction, Chang suggests (a) up to the first threshold, only black ink is used; (b) between the first threshold and the second threshold, a combination of black ink and composite black, while the amount of black ink remains below the maximum black recording liquid incorporation amount; and (c) at and above the second threshold, a combination of black ink and composite black, with the amount of black ink remaining constant at the maximum black recording liquid incorporation amount. By eliminating the first threshold and continue to use black ink up to the second threshold where black ink amount is at maximum does not change the principle operation of Chang. From figure 2, the amount of CMY components appears to be closed to 0% while the gray component density is below the second threshold at 35%, except at points very close to 35% and when black reaches its maximum at 35% gray component density, CMY starts to increase while black remains constant. At the time of invention, there had been a recognized problem or need in the art to print quality output image with the least amount of time to process the output image. There were a finite number of identified and predictable potential solutions to the recognized need or problem which were to allow the consolidation of two thresholds as taught by Chang into a single threshold where, it would allow using black ink up to a single threshold where the black ink amount is at its maximum and after that, a combination of black ink and composite black are used with the amount of black ink remains constant at the maximum black level. One of ordinary skill in the art could have pursued the known potential solution with a reasonable expectation of success since the solution would provide the ability for the system to run faster to produce quality output image in less amount of time by using one threshold instead of two thresholds to produce an output image. Therefore, Chang teaches regulating a maximum amount of black ink used in generating an output image. Chang does not expressly disclose regulating amount of black ink used such that glossiness of

/CHAN S PARK/
Acting SPE of Art Unit 2625

/D. T./
Examiner, Art Unit 2625